

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMM SSIOMER FOR PATENTS P.Q. Box 1467 Aldkundria, Virginia 22313-1450 wyw.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/892,622 | 92,622 06/28/2001 | | Dai Miyawaki | 826.1733 | 4876 | |
| 21171 | 7590 | 12/13/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| STAAS & | | (LLP | HUYNH, CONG LAC T | | | |
| SUITE 700 1201 NEW | | VENUE, N.W. | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| WASHING | | · | 2178 | | | |
| | | | | DATE MAIL ED: 12/13/2004 | DATE MAILED: 12/13/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 09/892,622 | MIYAWAKI ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Cong-Lac Huynh | 2178 | | |

| | Cong-Lac Huynh | 2178 | | | | | | |
|--|---|--------------------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | orrespondence add | ress | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 17 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on | | | indonment of | | | | | |
| this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow | ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff | idavit, or other evider | nce, which | | | | | |
| places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No | tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in | compliance with 37 C | FR 41.31; or (3) | | | | | |
| a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance | ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply me | ust be filed within one | of the following | | | | | |
| time periods: | | | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date | | | | | | | | |
| The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth | in the final rejection, wh | ichever is later. In | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or | | | | | | | | |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | | TINGT NEFET WAS F | ILED WITTIIN | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date | | 36(a) and the appropria | te extension fee | | | | | |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee | | | | | | | | |
| under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later | shortened statutory period for reply orig | inally set in the final Offi | ce action; or (2) as | | | | | |
| may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) | | te or the ilitar rejection, t | even ii umely illeu, | | | | | |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be | filed within two month | ns of the date of | | | | | |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte | | | | | | | | |
| a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed | within the time period set forth in 3 | 37 CFR 41.37(a). | | | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | | | ecause | | | | | |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co | nsideration and/or search (see NO | TE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | • • | | | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet | ter form for appeal by materially re | ducing or simplifying | the issues for | | | | | |
| appeal; and/or | | | | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | ected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. | | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | | | | | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al | lowable if submitted in a separate, | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the | | | | | |
| non-allowable claim(s). | 5 | | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) | | ll be entered and an e | explanation of | | | | | |
| how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro- The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | vided below or appended. | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1,3,5-10,12,14-16,18,20-22,24 and 26- | <u>36</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but | t before or on the date of filing a N | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> | t be entered | | | | | |
| because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and | d sufficient reasons why the affiday | it or other evidence is | necessary and | | | | | |
| was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing | a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the | date of filing a brief, v | will <u>not</u> be | | | | | |
| entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). | | | | | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. | | | | | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | To the status of the claims after e | nuly is below of attach | ieu. | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. | t does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowar | nce because: | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | | | |
| 13. Other: | | ^ | | | | | | |
| | | Conglack | nh | | | | | |
| | | CONGLAC | HUYNH | | | | | |
| | | DRIMARY FX | AMINER | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The added limitations to independent claims and the new claims require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the request was in part based on the newly added limitations as indicated in NOTE above. Further, Applicant's arguments regarding the issue that obtaining URLs together with the image data is not disclosed in Johnson is not persuasive. Johnson teaches that "If used with a Macintosh and Netscape, users can merely dragand-drop WWW pages, images and text into the GrabNet window to automatically transfer their universal-resource-locators (URL) along with the images or text clippings. For other browsers, a simple copy-and-paste accomplishes the same task." Clearly, the URL of the image is transferred with the image when dragging-and-dropping or copying- and-pasting. That means, the image obtained from the dragging-and-dropping or copying-and-pasting has its corresponding URL. In other words, in Johnson the image data is obtained along with the URL when dragging-and- dropping operation or copying-and-pasting operation an image is performed. Applicant also argues that Johnson does not disclose updating an image attribute in the application with a URL at which the image is available and with the image identification information relating to the image. In response, it is noted that it was well known that data when dragged and dropped or copied and pasted to an application is inserted into the application. Therefore, when an image is inserted in an application and its associated URL is transferred along with via dragging and dropping or copying and pasting, the image is updated with the URL at which the image is available. The WWW page's title, beside being used as the index entry, is an identification information of the image for updating the image data beside the URL. Applicants argue that in the final office action the statement "the image, when dropped or pasted in the application, is inserted in the application" is without basis since in Johnson images are only needed for the user to show into which folder they'd like to place the URL, there is no need for the image to be inserted. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. In Johnson. folders are created and named. Then, WWW pages, images and text with their associated URLs are inserted into the folders via dragging-and-dropping or copying-and-pasting where such data insertion is a well-known feature of dragging and dropping or copying and pasting. The images are not needed for the user to show which folder they'd like to place the URL as argued.